AN UPDATE ON ANTIFUNGAL ACTIVITY OF ESSENTIAL OILS AGAINST MALASSEZIA PACHYDERMATIS

Carla PAVLOV-ENESCU¹, Andra-Cristina BOSTĂNARU¹, Mihai MAREȘ¹,

pavlov.carla@gmail.com

Abstract

In recent years, the lipophilic yeast Malassezia pachydermatis is considered to be the most significant opportunistic pathogen associated with dermatitis and otitis externa in veterinary medicine. At the same time, various findings have shown the capacity of clinical isolates to acquire azole-resistance, therefore the development of new alternative treatment strategies are highly demanded. In the last decade, plant-based antimicrobials have known a resurrection, and special attention was given to essential oils (EOs). EOs are complex mixtures of small lipophilic molecules, of which one up to three compounds constitute the main phytochemical markers. EOs arose as candidates for the alternative treatment of Malassezia-related diseases. This review highlights the antifungal potential of EOs and their bioactive compounds against *M. pachydermatis* based on literature reports (in vitro and in vivo retrospective studies). A search was conducted using three databases (PubMed, Web of Science, Google Scholar), and all relevant articles from the period 2015-2021 were extracted. The findings showed most of EOs had significant antifungal activity against M. pachydermatis, especially through bioactive compounds such as monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes, on their own or by synergism with conventional antifungal drugs or other compounds, such as fluconazole and Tween 80. EOs with promising antifungal activity against M. pachydermatis include winter savory, lemongrass, oregano, cinnamon and oregano. The review emphasizes the importance of Eos as novel antifungal agents. EOs could be considered as an alternative to conventional antifungals, as they act concurrently towards different fungal targets due to their multicomponent nature.

Key words: antifungal, essential oils, Malassezia pachydermatis.

INTRODUCTION

In present times, worldwide, thousands of plant species are being used to obtain modern medication and at the same time, being used in traditional medicine.

Increasingly aggressive microorganisms, with a multi-drug resistant profile, urged the reduction of chemicals being used as antimicrobial agents and focused medical professionals on substances derived from plants, such as hydro-alcoholic extracts or essential oils.

The versatility of such substances is enormous as the same plant can provide a pool of substances with a very broad spectrum of action due to their different chemical structure. The term "Essential Oil" (EO) was coined in the 16th century by the Swiss reformer of medicine, Paracelsus von Hohenheim. Approximately 17,000 plant species produce essential oils. These are belonging mainly to a few families, and the most representative are *Lamiaceae*, *Asteraceae*, *Myrtaceae*, and *Lauraceae* (*Bruneton*, 1999). Currently, about 4000 EOs are known, the number growing exponentially every day. Most of these EOs are being used in the food and beauty industry as flavours and fragrances. Plant EOs represent a complex mixture of compounds, are known for their antiseptic and medicinal properties (sedative, analgesic, anti-spastic, anti-carcinogenic) and, furthermore, due to their antimicrobial and antioxidant potential, are used as natural additives in foods and food products. Many thousands of compounds belonging to the family of terpenes have so far been identified in essential oils, mainly of terpenoidic nature (*Bilia et al., 2014*)

Terpenoids represent of groups hydrocarbons which have as base structure the isoprene (C₅H₈). They represent the largest group of phytochemicals with the highest antimicrobial potential. They are classified in 8 categories based on the number and structure of the isoprene units. Studies from 2017 have showed that 67% of the terpenoids that exhibit bioactivity are represented by monoterpenes $(C_{10}H_{16})$ and sesquiterpenes $(C_{15}H_{24})$. As for the antimicrobial activity of terpenoids, the mechanism of action is not fully understood, but recent studies report that the majority of terpenoids inhibit two crucial survival microorganisms: processes of oxidative

¹ Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Life Sciences, Iași

phosphorylation and oxygen absorption. Antifungal components can also lead to dysfunction of fungal mitochondria at the same time disrupting the formation of the fungal cell membranes.

Malassezia spp. represents a group of lipid-dependent fungi, commensals on the skin of humans and animals. Through mechanisms still incompletely elucidated, they become pathogenic and are involved in a variety of skin conditions, both in human medicine - pityriasis versicolor (*M. furfur*) and veterinary medicine - complications in atopic dermatitis (*M. pachydermatis*).

Despite the extraordinary advancement of mycological knowledge in the last two decades in the study of these yeasts, the pathogenetic mechanism and virulence factors are not fully clarified. The ability of this group of microorganisms to colonize and infect is determined by complex interactions between the fungal cell and the host, through virulence factors. Current *in vitro* and in *vivo* studies mention the presence of *M. pachydermatis* strains with increased tolerance to azoles, due to point mutations in the ERG11 gene.

Recent studies (*Chiavassa et al., 2014, Watanabe et all., 2014*) have reported the sporadic presence of *M. pachydermatis* strains with increased tolerance to azoles in vitro. In 2019, Angileri et al. isolated a strain from a Toy-Poodle that did not respond to azole treatment, which resulted in a 7x higher MIC of itraconazole. In 2018, Rui Kano et al. isolated the first multiresistant azole strain with mutations in the ERG11 gene, which has a MIC of 320 μ g / ml for itraconazole and over 32 μ g / ml for ketoconazole. This justifies the importance of alternative therapeutic research, which has caused an increased interest in studying the antifungal potential of EOs.

In the field of veterinary medicine, EOs have been used as prevention against ectoparasites, they have shown a positive effect in atopic dermatitis, chronic dermatitis, pyoderma and malodor in canines. EOs can also be used as ingredients in mouth rinses for their antimicrobial properties or in the treatment of abscesses.

This review highlights the antifungal potential of EOs and their bioactive compounds against *M. pachydermatis* based on literature (*in vitro* and *in vivo* retrospective studies).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A search was conducted using three databases (PubMed, Web of Science, Google Scholar), and all relevant articles from the period 2015-2021 were extracted.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In vitro tests

Authors reported different assays to evaluate the antifungal properties. Broth microdilution assay was the most used, followed by the agar disk diffusion test and vapor phase methods. This review focused on species with implication in veterinary medicine, but some authors included in their studies species involved in human pathology. The strains tested in the studies are represented by clinical isolates. All of the authors cited in this review evaluated the antimycotic activity different essential oils against M. of pachydermatis. The literature related to the last five years shows a great variety of essential oils originating from different plant genera (i.e. Thymus, Artemisia, Malaleuca, Cinnamomun, Ocimum, Zataria, Rosmarinus, Origanum, Syzigium, Foenicolum, Thapsia, Tachyspermum, Myrtus). Different assays were used to evaluate the antifungal proprieties. Agar diffusion test was the most used, followed by broth microdilution assay.

Váczi, P. et al. tested the antifungal activity of 14 selected EOs at 3 different concentrations: 0.5%, 5% and 30% against 18 clinical isolates and one reference strain of M. pachydermatis. The isolates were collected from canine patients diagnosed with otitis externa. Out of the tested EOs, clove, cinnamon and oregano showed a 100% antifungal efficacy at 30% concentration, whereas at the concentration of 5% the efficacy was less significant (38%, 33% and 5%, respectively). The main active compound in the previously mentioned EOs is represented by eugenol (77%). Satureja inhibited the growth at 30% concentration with an efficacy of only 16%. The remaining 10 EOs tested did not exhibit any inhibition zone greater or equal to 15 mm which was defined in the methodology used, therefore they were considered ineffective. Regarding all the EOs, the 0.5% concentration was not effective inhibiting the growth of *M. pachydermatis*.

Table 1

		5% concentration	n	30% concentration		
ЕО	EO		Reference Isolates strain			Reference strain
	Inhibition zones (mm)	% of efficacy (no of sensitive strains/no of samples tested)	Inhibition zones (mm)	Inhibition zones (mm)	% of efficacy (no of sensitive strains/no of samples tested)	Inhibition zones (mm)
Clove	16,94	38% (7/18)	18	41,67	100% (18/18)	44
Cinnamon	15,44	33% (6/18)	15	40,14	100% (18/18)	50
Oregano	13	5	14	38,8	100%(18/18)	52
Satureja	7,49	0	0	23,26	16% (3/18)	12
Cedar	3,22	0	8	11,94	0	12
Chamomile	3,61	0	6	11,17	0	12
Bergamot	0	0	0	8,44	0	10
Lavender	0	0	0	6,55	0	8
Grapefruit	0	0	0	10,78	0	10
Sage	0	0	0	10,06	0	14
Tea tree	0	0	0	8,44	0	10
Juniper	0	0	0	5,55	0	8
Pine	0	0	0	4,44	0	6
Yarrow	0	0	0	0	0	0

Effectiveness of the EOs against *M. pachydermatis* according to Váczi, P. et al.

Table 2

Effectiveness of the EOs against M. pachydermatis according to Bismarck et al.

	Inhibition zone radius (median)					
EO	Aromatogram	Vapour assay	EO 20% solution	EO 10% solution		
Winter sayory	>40	>40	10.75	4.5		
Lemon grass	>40	>40	19.5	8		
Rose geranium	>40	>40	7	0		
Oregano	>40	>40	14.5	6		
Palmarosa	>40	>40	12.5	6.75		
Indian melissa	>40	>40	7	0		
Thyme thymol 19%	37.5	>40	0	n.t.		
Cinnamon leaf	26	24	9	4		
Clove	25	22.5	8	5		
Thyme	19.75	21.5	0	n.t.		
Coriander seed	19	17	0	n.t.		
Thyme linalool	16.5	13	0	n.t.		
Manuka	15	10.5	5.5	0		
Tea tree	10	7.5	0	n.t.		
Lavandin super	9	9.5	0	n.t.		
Fennel	8.75	0	0	n.t.		
Lavender fine	8	7.25	0	n.t.		
Lemon	7.75	4.5	0	n.t.		
Clary sage	7.5	5.5	0	n.t.		
Angelica root	7.5	0	0	n.t.		
Ravintsara	6.75	0	0	n.t.		
Neroli	0	0	0	n.t.		

n.t - not tested;

Bismark et al., tested 22 EOs on 15 canine clinical isolates using two different assays – Agar disk diffusion with 20%, respectively 10% EO concentration and vapor assay. Out of the 22 EOs tested, winter savory, lemon grass, oregano, palmarosa, and cinnamon leaf oil showed excellent in vitro activity. The activity of antifungal agents was tested simultaneously using agar disc diffusion assay. The efficacy of the EOs was classified based on the inhibition radius as follows: not sensitive <8mm, slightly sensitive 8-13.9mm, moderately sensitive 14.0-19.9 mm, very sensitive >20mm, extremely sensitive if no growth.

Ebani et al., conducted the first study that tested simultaneously the efficacy of EOs against *Staphylococcus spp.* and *Malassezia spp.* strains isolated from canine patients. The antifungal activity was conducted by using Agar Disk Diffusion Method.

Five clinical isolates of *M. pachydermatis* were tested, these strains were isolated from the skin of dogs diagnosed with atopic dermatitis. The antifungal activity of selected EOs was assessed by microdilution method, diluted in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Oxoid Ltd.), at concentrations of 5%, 4.5%, 4%, 3.5%, 3%, 2.5%, 2%, 1.5%, 1%, 0.75%, and 0.5%. MIC was established as the lowest concentration of EO where no fungal growth was yielded. Commiphora myrrha and Litsea cubeba were not effective at 5% dilution. Aloysia tryphilla was the most active with MICs of 0.87 and 1.03 mg/mL, followed by S. montana with MIC of 1.8 mg/mL and Cinnamomum 3.06 and 4.08 mg/mL. zevlanicum with Malassezia yeasts showed a marked variability in their susceptibility to EOs. For these reasons, a sensitivity assay of the fungal isolates is recommended, as suggested by Bismarck et al.

Table 3

Libum et al.						
EO	UNIT	Strain 1	Strain 2	Strain 3	Strain 4	Strain 5
Aloysia triphylla	mg/mL	1.03	0.87	1.03	0.87	1.03
Cinnamomum zeylanicum	mg/mL	3.06	3.06	4.08	3.06	4.08
Commiphora myrrha	mg/mL	n.e.	n.e.	n.e.	n.e.	n.e.
Cymbopogon citratus	mg/mL	7.13	7.13	7.13	7.13	7.13
Litsea cubeba	mg/mL	n.e.	n.e.	n.e.	n.e.	n.e.
Melissa officinalis	mg/mL	3.55	3.55	3.55	3.55	2.66
Origanum vulgare	mg/mL	7.73	7.73	6.76	7.73	6.76
Satureja montana	mg/mL	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8
Thymus vulgaris	mg/mL	8.7	8.7	7.73	7.73	7.73
Cloramphenicol	μg/mL	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02

MIC values of the tested EOs expressed in mg/mL against selected *M. pachydermatis* isolates according to Ehani et al

Aiemsaard J. et al. in 2020, evaluated the antifungal activity of the essential oil in the betel vine against 17 strains of M. pachydermatis isolated from lesions of canine dermatitis, using the microdilution assay and time kill assay. The predominant EO in the betel vine was eugenol (32.82%). The results were promising, the EO having a high antifungal activity against all tested isolates, with MIC and MFC values equal to 0.66 $-1.13 \,\mu$ g/ml. The time kill assay showed that the highest activity was achieved by 8 times the MIC, which eradicated more than 99.9% of the microorganisms within 30 minutes, followed by 4 which eradicated tested times the MIC, microorganisms by 90% in less than 30 minutes. In a different study, in 2019, the same authors tested clove EO and eugenol on 17 strains of M. pachydermatis isolated from canine patients and found no significant differences between the two EOs MPICs, MPFCs, MBICs and MBECs,

suggesting that eugenol was the major component of the clove EO, which justifiably led the authors to continue with the aforementioned study. On the other hand, they found that the yeast biofilms were 2 times more tolerant to the EOs tested, a much lower figure than previously reported in 2013 by Figueredo et al.

Table 4

MPIC50 and MPFC50 for 17 planktonic M. pachydermatis isolates according to Aiemsaard J. et al.

Antifungal agent	MPIC50 (mg/mL)	MPFC50 (mg/mL)
Clove EO	0.156	0.312
Eugenol	0.156	0.312
Ketoconazole	0.019	0.038

 $MPIC_{50}$ – minimum planktonic inhibitory concentration for 50% of tested isolates; $MPFC_{50}$ - minimum planktonic fungicidal concentration for 50% of tested isolates.

pachydermatis isolates according to Aiemsaard J. et al					
Antifungal agent	MBIC50 (mg/mL)	MBEC50 (mg/mL)			
Clove FO	0.312	0.624			
Eugenol	0.312	0.624			
Ketoconazole	0.038	0.076			
MPIC50 minimum inhibitory appartration for 50% of testad isolates:					

MBIC50 and MBEC50 for biofilms of 6 M.

Table 5

T 11 (

MBIC50 – minimum inhibitory concentration for 50% of tested isolates; MBEC50 – minimum biofilm eradication concentration for 50% of tested isolates

Jowenna Xiao Feng Sim et al. tested the in vitro efficacy of the main phenolic constituents of oregano oil, thyme oil against 20 M. pachydermatis clinical isolates associated with canine otitis externa. The antimicrobial susceptibility testing was evaluated by using broth microdilution with spot-planting assay to determine the MIC, MBC and MFC. To confirm the fungicidal activity of the EOs, a time-kill kinetics assay was performed. Oregano oil (carvacrol) and thyme oil (thymol) showed antifungal activity promising against М. pachydermatis isolates. They were more sensitive to oregano oil (MIC90 = 0.06%; 563–585 lg/mL), in detriment to thyme oil (MIC90 = 0.125%; 1,146 lg/mL). Additionally, M. pachydermatis was reported to have the same MFC values as their MIC values.

Table 6
MIC50, MIC90, MFC50 and MFC90 of tested EOs on 20
isolated from dogs with Malassezia otitis externa
according to Jowenna Xiao Feng Sim et al.

Concentration, % values (µg/mL)					
Value	Oregano	Carvacrol	Thyme	Thymol	
MIC ₅₀	0.06	0.06 (585)	0.125	0.09	
	(563)		(1146)	(800)	
MIC ₉₀	0.06	0.06 (585)	0.125	0.09	
	(563)		(1146)	(800)	
MFC ₅₀	0.06	0.06 (585)	0.125	0.09	
	(563)		(1146)	(800)	
MFC90	0.06	0.06 (585)	0.125	0.09	
	(563)		(1146)	(800)	

In 2015, A.R. Khosravi et al. evaluated the antifungal efficacy of medicinal EOs on 84 strains isolated from canine patients, from various parts of the body (ear, mouth, interdigital, groin). The authors collected plants from different regions of Iran, specifically *Zataria multiflora*, *Thymus kotschyanus, Mentha spicata, Artemisia sieberi, Rosmarinus officinalis* and *Heracleum persicum*. EOs were isolated by water distillation, according to the European Pharmacopoeia. The MICs of the 6 EOs, were evaluated by broth microdilution method. Out of the tested oils, two of them were more active than the other: *Z. multiflora* (MIC90 value: 60 µg/mL) and *A. sieberi* (MIC90 value: 80 µg/mL), having the strongest antifungal proprieties against *M. pachydermatis* and other species, containing a high percentage of phenolic compounds, such as thymol and carvacrol.

Tab	e 7
MIC90 of some essential oils against M. pachydermati	is,
according to A D Khosrovi at al	

Agent	MIC90 (µg/mL)
Zataria multiflora	60
Thymus kotschyanus	100
Mentha spicata	150
Artemisia sieberi	80
Rosmarinus officinalis	360
Heracleum persicum	580

In vivo studies

Unfortunately, in vivo studies in the veterinary field at this time are scarce. From the aforementioned databases, only two such studies were retrieved.

Nardoni S. et al., in 2017, tested in vivo, five mixture of essential oils that were obtained from plants found in the Mediterranean area. The EOs themselves showed promising results in previously reported in vitro studies: *Citrus paradisi, Salvia sclarea, Ocimum basilicum, Rosmarinus officinalis, Citrus limon, Anthemis nobilis, Lavandula hybrida* and *Thymus vulgaris.*

The study centered on testing 25 atopic dogs, diagnosed with Malassezia otitis externa, by treating them once daily for 2 weeks. The mixture comprised by C. limon 1%, S. sclarea 0,5%, R. officinalis 1%, A. nobilis 0,5% showed the best results in all treated dogs. There was a complete clinical resolution of symptoms, although the number of blastopores did not decrease. All of the mixtures were tested comparatively with ketoconazole with a MIC of $< 0.03 \mu g/ml-1$. Individually, out of all the EOs tested, T. vulgaris achieved the lowest MIC (0.05%). The authors mentioned caution when using some EOs topically as some of them can contain irritant compounds (i.e. *O. basilicum*, citrale, limonene) and also discourage the use of high EOs concentrations, especially in atopic patients, as it can cause skin adverse effects. Their concern is based on the fact that 2 patients had adverse effects to one of the mixtures containing 2% Citrus spp. The aforementioned mixture, with the highest grade of the success contains EOs characterized by eudermic action (S. sclarea and R. officinalis).

Rita C.S.M. Neves et al., in 2019, conducted a study on 28 dogs with clinical signs

of otitis externa, subsequently diagnosed as being otitis externa. identifying fungal Mpachydermatis as the primary pathogen. The aim of the study was to compare the effects of tea tree EO with common antifungal topic treatments. The authors used a 5% tea tree EO lotion in the right ears, while using a 0.15% nystatin lotion in all the left ears, as positive control. They concluded there was no significant difference between the two treatments. Not all dogs treated with tea tree EO have healed completely in the 14 days trial, but a significant improvement of the clinical and cytological findings was obvious on all patients, less inflammation, pruritus, discharge and a lower microorganisms quantification of and inflammatory cells.

CONCLUSIONS

Chemical drugs are being associated with the rapid emergence of multi-drug resistant microorganisms while traditional medicinal plants represent a very important reservoir of active substances that can be used in as aid in treatment of infectious diseases, due to their already known antimicrobial effects. The studies reviewed in this summary show that EOs have a great antimicrobial potential and should be used alone, or in addition with antifungal agents.

Due to different study designs, test methods and of course, the EOs used in the assays, a comparison of results is not always possible. Testing more than one isolate reveals different susceptibility of *M. pachydermatis* to EOs, indicating that an EO might help on one strain isolated from a specific patient, but might not be the best option for a different individual. More in vivo studies need to be conducted to evaluate the risk-benefit ratio of EOs in treating Malassezia related infections.

Further studies to determine the suitable concentration and formulation of EOs and in vivo testing of the antifungal efficacy against *M. pachydermatis* infections are required.

This research received no external funding.

REFERENCES

- Ebani, V. V., Bertelloni, F., Najar, B., Nardoni, S., Pistelli, L., & Mancianti, F. (2020). Antimicrobial Activity of Essential Oils against Staphylococcus and Malassezia Strains Isolated from Canine Dermatitis. Microorganisms, 8(2), 252. DOI:10.3390/microorganisms8020252
- Aiemsaard, J., Kamollerd, C., Uopasai, S., Singh, R., & Thongkham, E., (2020). Efficiency of clove essential oil against planktonic cells and biofilms of Malassezia

pachydermatis isolated from canine dermatitis. The Thai Journal of Veterinary Medicine, 49(4), 415-420. Retrieved from https://he01.tcithaijo.org/index.php/tjvm/article/view/241553

- Sim JXF, Khazandi M, Chan WY, Trott DJ, Deo P., (2020). Antimicrobial activity of thyme oil, oregano oil, thymol and carvacrol against sensitive and resistant microbial isolates from dogs with otitis externa. Vet Dermatol. 2019 Dec;30(6):524-e159. DOI: 10.1111/vde.12794. Epub 2019 Sep 30. PMID: 31566822.
- Khosravi AR, Shokri H, Fahimirad S., (2015). Efficacy of medicinal essential oils against pathogenic Malassezia sp. isolates. J Mycol Med. 2016 Mar;26(1):28-34. doi: 10.1016/j.mycmed.2015.10.012.
- Neves, Rita & Makino, Hérica & Cruz, Thalita & Silveira, Marcelo & Sousa, Valéria & Dutra, Valéria & Lima, Marcia & Belli, C., (2018). In vitro and in vivo efficacy of tea tree essential oil for bacterial and yeast ear infections in dogs. Pesquisa Veterinária Brasileira. 38. 1597-1607. DOI: 10.1590/1678-5150pvb-5055.
- Masango P. (2005). Cleaner production of essential oils by steam distillation. J. Clean. Prod. 2005;13:833–839. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2004.02.039.
- Tongnuanchan P., Benjakul S. (2014). Essential oils: Extraction, bioactivities, and their uses for food preservation. J. Food Sci. 2014;79:R1231–R1249. DOI: 10.1111/1750-3841.12492.
- Bakkali F., Averbeck S., Averbeck D., Idaomar M., (2008). Biological effects of essential oils—A review. Food Chem. Toxicol. 2008;46:446–475. DOI: 10.1016/j.fct.2007.09.106.
- Peano, A., Johnson, E., Chiavassa, E., Tizzani, P., Guillot, J., & Pasquetti, M., (2020). Antifungal Resistance Regarding Malassezia pachydermatis: Where Are We Now?. Journal of fungi (Basel, Switzerland), 6(2), 93. DOI: 10.3390/jof6020093
- George DR, Finn RD, Graham KM, Sparagano OA., (2014) Present and future potential of plant-derived products to control arthropods of veterinary and medical significance. Parasite Vectors 7(7):28. DOI: 10.1186/1756-3305-7-28
- 11. Lee M. Y., (2018). Essential Oils as Repellents against Arthropods. BioMed research international, 2018, 6860271. DOI: 10.1155/2018/6860271
- Sim JXF, Khazandi M, Pi H, Venter H, Trott DJ, Deo P., (2019). Antimicrobial effects of cinnamon essential oil and cinnamaldehyde combined with EDTA against canine otitis externa pathogens. J Appl Microbiol. 2019 Jul;127(1):99-108. DOI: 10.1111/jam.14298.
- Guillot J., Bond R., (1999). Malassezia pachydermatis: a review, Medical Mycology, Volume 37, Issue 5, 1999, Pages 295–306, DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-280X.1999.00237.x
- Váczi, P., Čonková, E., Marcinčáková, D., Sihelská, Z., (2018). Antifungal Effect of Selected Essential Oils on Malassezia pachydermatis Growth. Folia Veterinaria. 62. 67-72. DOI: 10.2478/fv-2018-0018.
- 15. Nazzaro F, Fratianni F, Coppola R, Feo VD. (2017). Essential Oils and Antifungal Activity. Pharmaceuticals. 10. 86. DOI: 10.3390/ph10040086.
- Bismarck D., Dusold A., Heusinger A., Müller E., (2019). Antifungal in vitro Activity of Essential Oils against Clinical Isolates of Malassezia pachydermatis from Canine Ears: A Report from a Practice Laboratory. Complement Med Res 2020;27:143-154. doi: 10.1159/000504316

- Campbell J.J., Coyner K.S., Rankin S.C., Lewis T.P., Schick A.E., Shumaker A.K., (2010). Evaluation of fungal flora in normal and diseased canine ears. Vet Dermatol.;21(6):619–25. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3164.2010.00927.x
- 18. **Ursula S.**,(2020). Farmacognozie. Produse vegetale cu substanțe bioactive ISBN: 978-973-46-7996-6
- Fera M.T., La Camera E., De Sarro A., (2009). New triazoles and echinocandins: mode of action, in vitro activity and mechanisms of resistance. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2009 Oct;7(8):981-98. DOI: 10.1586/eri.09.67.
- 20. **Zimmermann E.,** (2019) Aromatherapie für Pflegeund Heilberufe: Kursbuch für Ausbildung und Praxis. 6th ed. Stuttgart: Haug; ISBN: 978-3-1321-9291-1
- Bilia A.R., Guccione C., Isacchi B., Righeschi C., Firenzuoli F., Bergonzi MC., (2014). Essential oils loaded in nanosystems: a developing strategy for a successful therapeutic approach. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2014;2014:651593. DOI: 10.1155/2014/651593.
- 22. **Bruneton J., Hatton C.,** (1999). Pharmacognosy, phytochemistry, medicinal plants. Paris: Lavoisier Pub. ISBN: 1898298130
- 23. Figueredo LA, Cafarchia C, Otranto D., (2013). Antifungal susceptibility of Malassezia pachydermatis biofilm. Med Mycol. 2013 Nov;51(8):863-7. DOI: 10.3109/13693786.2013.805440.
- Nardoni S, Pistelli L, Baronti I, Najar B, Pisseri F, Bandeira Reidel RV, Papini R, Perrucci S, Mancianti F., (2017). Traditional Mediterranean plants: characterization and use of an essential oils mixture to treat Malassezia otitis externa in atopic dogs. Nat Prod Res. 2017 Aug;31(16):1891-1894. DOI: 10.1080/14786419.2016.1263853.