THE ASSESSMENT OF TURIST FLOW IN BACĂU **COUNTY'S TOURISTY AND AGRI-TOURISTY BOARDING HOUSES**

Cristina Simeanu^{1*}, B. Păsărin¹, C. Şonea², D. Simeanu¹, B.V. Avarvarei¹

¹University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Iasi, Romania ²Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Romania

Abstract

The aim of this study was to investigate the tourist flow in Bacău County's touristy and agrotouristy boarding houses using commons tourist flow indicators, such as: mean number of tourists (Nt), mean duration of sojourn (Ls), and touristy flow density (Td) depending on the types of tourists. Our research was carried on considering the registered data between 2012 and 2016. The investigated tourist flow indicators shown the following results: mean number of Romanian tourists in touristy boarding houses was higher than in agro-touristy boarding houses (64.96 and 17.82); in the same time, mean number of foreign tourists in touristy boarding houses was higher than in agrotouristy boarding houses (3.54 and 0.87). Mean duration of sojourn (Ls) for Romanian tourist in touristy boarding houses was lower than in agro-touristy boarding houses (1.68 and 1.58 days). Mean duration of sojourn (Ls) for foreign tourist followed the same trend as for Romanian tourist, being lower in touristy boarding houses than in agro-touristy boarding houses (2.61 and 3.05 days, respectively). Touristy flow density (D) was lower in touristy boarding houses than in agro-touristy boarding houses (0.175 and 0.088 tourists).

Key words: mean number of tourists; mean duration of sojourn; touristy flow density

INTRODUCTION

Bacău County is situated in the East part of Romania, in the middle of Moldova region, on the eastern slope of Oriental Carpathians. It's neighbouring at North with Neamt County, at South with Vrancea, at West with Covasna and Harghita and at East with Vaslui.

The natural touristy resources represented by the relief formed by a mountainous area, strongly folded, at West encompassing the central-eastern group of Oriental Carpathians (Tarcău Mountains - Grindus Peak 1664 m, Oituz, Goşmanu and Berzunt), a hilly area including Sub-Carpathians, Tazlău-Casin lowland and a part of Siret riverside and Bârlad plateau together with culturalhistorical touristy resources with numerous monuments and archaeological (Horgesti locality, Brad-Zargidava locality, Răcătău-Tamasidava locality),

The development of tourism and its integration in the structure of modern economies, in sphere of population necessities consumption, is reflected into a continuous enrichment of its content and by a diversification of manifestation Participation of touristy movement of larger social categories, associated with demands' variety, was favourable to apparition of new forms of tourism, their adaptation being permanent to tourists' requirements travelling conditions. Intensification domestic and international voyages, increasing of tourism role in the ensemble of international relations as well as in economic and social life determined enlarged preoccupations

monasteries (monastery Bogdana, Caşin, Ocna Măgurei), Bacău County museum of ethnography and art, Ghica Palace -Comănești, Castle of Știrbu family in Dărmănesti, as well as the well-known spa and climatic resorts from Slănic Moldova and Târgu Ocna, make from Bacău County an area with an important touristy potential for Romania [6].

^{*}Corresponding author: cristina.simeanu@yahoo.com The manuscript was received: 26.09.2017 Accepted for publication: 19.03.2018

evaluation of its dimensions and effects, for elaboration of a unitary system for recording and tracking of touristy flow [5].

MATERIAL AND METHOD

The existent touristy accommodation capacity (installed) represent the number of accommodation places for touristy usage registered in the last document for reception, homologation and classification of the touristy accommodation unit, exclusively supplementary beds which could be installed in case of necessity. The places afferent to structures of touristy accommodation with function of complementary touristy boarding (small houses, camping, etc.) to a basic touristy boarding structure (hotel, motel, camping etc.) and utilisation of those places are included in the basic structure [13].

Touristy boarding structure functions for touristy accommodation is represented by any building or construction, which provides permanently or seasonally an accommodation service or any other specific services for tourists. Touristy boarding houses are accommodation structures with a capacity up to 20 rooms, totalising maximum 60 places, functioning in citizen's houses or in independent buildings, which assure spaces special designed for tourists' accommodation and conditions for meal preparing and serving. Agro-touristy boarding houses are accommodation structure with a capacity up to 8 rooms, functioning in citizen's houses or in independent buildings, which assure spaces special designed for tourists' accommodation and conditions for meal preparing and as well as possibilities participation at different households and craftworks activities [13].

In number of tourists (arrivals) accommodated in touristy boarding units are included all the persons (Romanians and foreigners) who travel outside their own residence locality, for a period less than 12 months and who stay at least one night into a touristy boarding unit in areas which they visit in the country; the main reason of the journey being other than to have a paid activity in the visited [13].

The overnight stay (days/tourist) represents each night for which a person is registered into a touristy boarding unit, no matter if it is or not physically present in the room [13].

Quantification of touristy flow is based on different methods, with different complexity degrees and uses a large scale of indicators, the most representative being: mean number of tourists, mean duration of sojourn, touristy flow density.

Those indicators express the main characteristics for touristy flow and are calculated as follows [2]:

mean number of tourists is expressed by rate between sum of tourists recorded in a certain period of time (ΣT) and the number of the days from that period (n):

$$\overline{N}_t = \frac{\sum T}{n}$$

and shows the intensity of touristy flow in a certain period of time (calendar or touristy season).

mean duration of sojourn is determined by rate between number of days/tourist (NTZ) to tourists number (T):

$$D_{s} = \frac{\sum NZT}{\sum NT}$$

and reflects the possibility of touristy offer to retain a tourist into a certain area, region or country.

> touristy flow density is the indicator which directly connect touristy flow with the residential population of the area or country. Is calculated as a rate between tourists number (T) and population number (P):

$$D = \frac{\sum T}{P}$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Any human action is motivated by overindividual needs, which represent in their ensemble the possibility of humans' universality, through the concept of human been who live into a diversity of forms actualised in the context of such a cultural distinct, simple named urban or rural [7].

Capitalization of an area touristy potential could be synthetic expressed by some statistical indicators such as: touristy boarding capacity, arrivals (number of tourists) and overnights (days/tourist) [8], [9], [10], [11].

The existent touristy boarding capacity of touristy and agro-touristy boarding houses

from Bacău County in period 2012-2016 (tab. 1), varied between 542–1089 places in touristy boarding houses, the majority of them being founded in Bacău City and Slănic Moldova town and between 237-586 places in agrotouristy boarding houses, majority being settled in localities Mărgineni and Hemeiuș.

Table 1 Existent touristy boarding capacity on touristy accommodation units (touristy boarding houses and agro-touristy boarding houses) and localities from Bacău County

| Types of touristy boarding | | Years | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|-------|------|----------|------|------|
| Types of touristy boarding units | Localities | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| units | | | | MU: beds | 3 | |
| | Total | 542 | 721 | 743 | 1089 | 995 |
| | Bacău City | 145 | 177 | 206 | 231 | 218 |
| | Moineşti City | 38 | 50 | 50 | 66 | 66 |
| Touristy boarding houses | Oneşti City | 78 | 78 | 78 | 164 | 121 |
| Touristy boarding houses | Comăneşti Town | 53 | 53 | 55 | 55 | 44 |
| | Dărmăneşti Town | 30 | 30 | 30 | 62 | 38 |
| | Slănic Moldova Town | 126 | 262 | 253 | 325 | 323 |
| | Târgu Ocna Town | 72 | 71 | 71 | 186 | 185 |
| | Total | 237 | 267 | 277 | 564 | 586 |
| | Moineşti City | ÷ | : | : | 30 | 30 |
| | Oneşti City | : | : | : | 18 | 18 |
| | Comăneşti Town | : | : | : | 22 | 22 |
| | Slănic Moldova Town | 30 | 30 | : | 27 | 27 |
| | Asău | 14 | : | : | : | : |
| | Bereşti-Tazlău | : | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| | Filipeşti | : | : | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| | Ghimeş-Făget | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Agro-touristy boarding | Gîrleni | : | : | | 13 | 13 |
| houses | Hemeiuş | 68 | 58 | 58 | 69 | 69 |
| | Iteşti | : | : | | 30 | 30 |
| | Letea Veche | : | : | | 21 | 21 |
| | Măgura | : | • • | | • • | 30 |
| | Mărgineni | 56 | 75 | 75 | 73 | 73 |
| | Nicolae Bălcescu | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| | Oituz | : | : | | 67 | 59 |
| | Orbeni | : | : | : | 34 | 34 |
| | Sănduleni | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 |
| | Ştefan cel Mare | | : | : | 16 | 16 |

Legend: ':' - missing data;

Note: Yearly NIS collect statistical data from touristy boarding units with an existent accommodation capacity of minimum 5 places-beds and over.

- 186 -

Source: insse.ro

The number of touristy boarding structures with accommodation functions such as touristy and agro-touristy boarding houses from Bacău County, during 2012-2016 is presented in table 2. Number of touristy boarding houses from Bacău County, in the analysed period (2012–2016) was between 28 and 50 boarding houses, majority

of them being founded in the spa-climatic towns Slănic Moldova, Târgu Ocna and in Bacău City. Number of agro-touristy boarding houses from Bacău County in the same period (2012–2016), varied between 12 and 37 boarding houses, localities with more than one boarding house being Bereşti-Tazlău, Filipești, Hemeiuș and Mărgineni.

Table 2 Touristy boarding structures with touristy accommodation functions on types of structures (touristy and agro-touristy boarding houses) and localities from Bacău County

| Types of touristy boarding | | | | Years | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------|------|----------|------|------|
| units | Localities | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| units | | | М | U: numbe | r | |
| | Total | 28 | 35 | 35 | 50 | 45 |
| | Bacău City | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 9 |
| | Moineşti City | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Touristy boarding houses | Oneşti City | 3 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 5 |
| Touristy boarding houses | Comăneşti Town | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| | Dărmăneşti Town | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| | Slănic Moldova Town | 5 | 10 | 9 | 12 | 12 |
| | Târgu Ocna Town | 7 | 7 | 7 | 12 | 12 |
| | Total | 12 | 14 | 15 | 36 | 37 |
| | Moineşti City | : | : | : | 1 | 1 |
| | Oneşti City | : | : | : | 1 | 1 |
| | Comăneşti Town | : | : | : | 1 | 1 |
| | Slănic Moldova Town | 1 | 1 | : | 1 | 1 |
| | Asău | 1 | : | : | : | : |
| | Bereşti-Tazlău | : | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| | Filipeşti | : | : | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| | Ghimeş-Făget | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Agro-touristy boarding | Gîrleni | : | : | : | 1 | 1 |
| houses | Hemeiuş | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| | Iteşti | : | : | : | 1 | 1 |
| | Letea Veche | : | : | : | 1 | 1 |
| | Măgura | : | : | : | : | 1 |
| | Mărgineni | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| | Nicolae Bălcescu | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Oituz | : | : | : | 7 | 7 |
| | Orbeni | : | : | : | 1 | 1 |
| | Sănduleni | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
| | Ştefan cel Mare | : | : | : | 1 | 1 |

Legend: ':' – missing data;

Note: Aren't included in the statistical research, the touristy boarding structure with functions of touristy accommodation with an installed accommodation capacity less than 5 places

Source: insse.ro

Tourists' number (arrivals) in touristy and agro-touristy boarding houses on types of tourist's in Bacău County, in period 2012–2016, is presented in table 3.

Number of tourists on tourists' type, in touristy boarding houses during analysed period (2012–2016) shown that over 95% (95.10%) from arrivals belongs to Romanian tourists. Number of tourists on tourists' type, in agro-touristy boarding houses during the same period (2012–2016) enlightened also that over 95% (95.31%) from arrivals belongs to Romanian tourists.

Tourists' number (arrivals) in touristy and agro-touristy boarding houses on localities from Bacău County, in period 2012–2016, is presented in table 4. Tourists' number (arrivals) in touristy boarding houses increased constantly during the analysed period being with 69.82% higher in 2016 face to 2012 and also in agro-touristy boarding houses was with 277.29% higher in 2016 face to 2012.

Number of days/tourist (overnights) in touristy and agro-touristy boarding houses on tourists' type in Bacău County, in period 2012–2016, is presented in table 5.

Table 3 Tourists' arrivals in touristy boarding structures with functions of touristy accommodation, on types of structures (touristy and agro-touristy boarding houses) and on types of tourists in Bacău County

| Types of touristy | | Years | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| Types of touristy boarding units | Tourists' type | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | | | |
| boarding units | | MU: number of persons | | | | | | | |
| Touristy boarding | TOTAL | 18660 | 21532 | 22641 | 30190 | 31690 | | | |
| Touristy boarding | Romanians | 17740 | 20447 | 21610 | 28857 | 29956 | | | |
| houses | Foreigners | 920 | 1085 | 1031 | 1333 | 1734 | | | |
| A gra tourist. | TOTAL | 2731 | 6013 | 7097 | 7994 | 10304 | | | |
| Agro-touristy boarding houses | Romanians | 2729 | 5597 | 7007 | 7430 | 9775 | | | |
| boarding flouses | Foreigners | 2 | 416 | 90 | 564 | 529 | | | |

Source: insse.ro

Table 4 Tourists' arrivals in touristy boarding structures with functions of touristy accommodation, on types of structures (touristy and agro-touristy boarding houses) and localities from Bacău County

| T. m. s.s. of Associated | | | Years | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------|--|--|
| Types of touristy boarding units | Localities | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | | |
| boarding units | | | | MU: places | 3 | | | |
| | Total | 18660 | 21532 | 22641 | 30190 | 31690 | | |
| | Bacău City | 9183 | 10530 | 10882 | 13658 | 14980 | | |
| | Moineşti City | 333 | 1220 | 1058 | 2321 | 2713 | | |
| Touristy boarding | Oneşti City | 2443 | 1923 | 1536 | 1807 | 2560 | | |
| Touristy boarding houses | Comăneşti Town | 1640 | 2164 | 1923 | 3392 | 3508 | | |
| Houses | Dărmăneşti Town | 660 | 596 | 762 | 770 | 532 | | |
| | Slănic Moldova Town | 1828 | 3993 | 5322 | 5957 | 5383 | | |
| | Târgu Ocna Town | 2573 | 1106 | 1158 | 2183 | 2014 | | |
| | Oituz Town | : | : | : | 102 | : | | |
| | Total | 2731 | 6013 | 7097 | 7994 | 10304 | | |
| | Bacău City | : | : | : | 147 | 102 | | |
| | Moineşti City | 166 | : | : | : | : | | |
| | Comăneşti Town | : | : | : | 991 | 1739 | | |
| | Slănic Moldova Town | 1039 | 782 | : | : | : | | |
| | Bereşti-Tazlău | : | 149 | 180 | 232 | 98 | | |
| | Filipeşti | : | : | 96 | 198 | 4 | | |
| | Ghimeş-Făget | 17 | 13 | 13 | 37 | 49 | | |
| | Gîrleni | : | : | : | 270 | 618 | | |
| Agro-touristy boarding | Hemeiuş | 901 | 1541 | 1645 | 1709 | 1885 | | |
| houses | Iteşti | : | : | : | 34 | 14 | | |
| | Letea Veche | : | : | : | : | 36 | | |
| | Măgura | : | : | : | : | 1642 | | |
| | Mărgineni | 214 | 2804 | 4624 | 2800 | 2415 | | |
| | Nicolae Bălcescu | 264 | 516 | 317 | 186 | 314 | | |
| | Oituz | : | : | : | 51 | 27 | | |
| | Poduri | : | : | : | 1222 | 846 | | |
| | Racova | | : | : | | 308 | | |
| | Sănduleni | 130 | 208 | 222 | 71 | 114 | | |
| | Ştefan cel Mare | : | : | | 46 | 93 | | |

Legend: ':' - missing data;

Source: insse.ro

Number of days/tourist (overnights), on tourists' type, in touristy boarding houses during the analysed period (2012-2016), show that over 92% from overnights (92.36%) belongs to Romanian tourists.

Number of days/tourist (overnights), on tourists' type, in agro-touristy boarding houses during the same period (2012-2016), enlightened that over 93% from overnights (93.15%) belongs to Romanian tourists.

Table 5 Overnights of tourists in touristy boarding structures with functions of touristy accommodation, on types of structures (touristy and agro-touristy boarding houses) and on types of tourists in Bacău County

| Types of touristy boarding units | Tourists' type | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| | | MU: number of persons | | | | | |
| Touristy boarding houses | TOTAL | 33687 | 34399 | 40010 | 53032 | 54653 | |
| | Romanians | 31394 | 31893 | 37576 | 49627 | 48800 | |
| | Foreigners | 2293 | 2506 | 2434 | 3405 | 5853 | |
| Agro-touristy boarding houses | TOTAL | 4067 | 8502 | 10946 | 14085 | 19035 | |
| | Romanians | 4058 | 8006 | 10584 | 12731 | 17378 | |
| | Foreigners | 9 | 496 | 362 | 1354 | 1657 | |

Source: insse.ro

Population in according with the residence represent the number of persons with Romanian citizenship and with residence on territory of Romania, delimited by administrative-territorial criteria. The residence of a person is the address at which he declares that have the main residence, written in the ID card, as it is provided in the evidence of states' administrative bureaus. To

establish the value of this indicator we don't take in account the usual residence, the period and/or the reason of absence from residence (*insse.ro*). Population in according with the residence at 1st of January on localities from Bacău County is presented in table 6. Those numbers will be used for calculus of touristy flow density in Bacău County (on localities) during period 2012–2016.

Table 6 Population in according with the residence at 1st of January on localities from Bacău County

| | | | Years | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------|--------|-------------------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| Localities | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | | | |
| | | MU: nı | umber of p | ersons | ersons | | | |
| TOTAL | 753218 | 751354 | 749179 748866 747 | | | | | |
| Bacău City | 195973 | 195088 | 194952 | 196447 | 197362 | | | |
| Moineşti City | 25244 | 25088 | 24927 | 24824 | 24715 | | | |
| Oneşti City | 54643 | 54034 | 53553 | 53167 | 52639 | | | |
| Comăneşti Town | 24683 | 24529 | 24313 | 24203 | 24059 | | | |
| Dărmăneşti Town | 14790 | 14825 | 14788 | 14808 | 14777 | | | |
| Slănic Moldova Town | 5262 | 5248 | 5223 | 5200 | 5169 | | | |
| Târgu Ocna Town | 13472 | 13393 | 13326 | 13248 | 13134 | | | |
| Bereşti-Tazlău | 5815 | 5799 | 5765 | 5756 | 5740 | | | |
| Filipeşti | 4704 | 4718 | 4729 | 4711 | 4681 | | | |
| Ghimeş-Făget | 4950 | 4937 | 4895 | 4914 | 4910 | | | |
| Gîrleni | 7064 | 7080 | 7089 | 7073 | 7098 | | | |
| Hemeiuş | 4832 | 5003 | 5147 | 5299 | 5512 | | | |
| Iteşti | 1498 | 1518 | 1503 | 1509 | 1499 | | | |
| Letea Veche | 6221 | 6422 | 6556 | 6692 | 6903 | | | |
| Măgura | 4683 | 4826 | 4921 | 5009 | 5105 | | | |
| Mărgineni | 9479 | 9638 | 9723 | 9792 | 9863 | | | |
| Nicolae Bălcescu | 10063 | 10079 | 10139 | 10117 | 10081 | | | |
| Oituz | 9623 | 9592 | 9600 | 9571 | 9509 | | | |
| Poduri | 8182 | 8194 | 8172 | 8164 | 8151 | | | |
| Racova | 3579 | 3605 | 3625 | 3600 | 3578 | | | |
| Sănduleni | 4757 | 4737 | 4724 | 4700 | 4675 | | | |
| Ştefan cel Mare | 5152 | 5233 | 5229 | 5231 | 5257 | | | |

Source: insse.ro

Quantification of touristy flow is based on different methods, with different complexity degrees and uses a large scale of indicators, the most representative being: mean number of tourists (Nt), mean duration of sojourn (Ds), touristy flow density (D) [1], [3], [4].

Mean number of tourists (Nt) in touristy and agro-touristy boarding houses

from Bacău County, in period 2012-2016, on types of tourists is presented in table 7.

Table 7 Mean number of tourists in touristy boarding structures with functions of touristy accommodation, on types of structures (touristy and agro-touristy boarding houses) and on types of tourists in Bacău County

| Types of touristy boarding units | Tourists' type | 2012* | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | | MU: mean number of persons | | | | |
| Touristy boarding houses | TOTAL | 50.98 | 58.99 | 62.03 | 82.72 | 86.82 |
| | Romanians | 48.47 | 56.02 | 59.20 | 79.06 | 82.07 |
| | Foreigners | 2.51 | 2.97 | 2.83 | 3.66 | 4.75 |
| Agro-touristy boarding houses | TOTAL | 7.46 | 16.47 | 19.44 | 21.90 | 28.23 |
| | Romanians | 7.46 | 15.33 | 19.19 | 20.36 | 26.78 |
| | Foreigners | 0.005 | 1.14 | 0.25 | 1.54 | 1.45 |

Note:* - year 2012 was a bisect year with 366 days

This indicator which show the intensity of touristy flow into a certain period of time (calendar or touristy season) [12] in touristy and agro-touristy boarding houses from Bacău County on types of tourist recorded mean values in those 5 analysed years (2012– 2016), of 64.96 Romanian tourists and 3.54 foreigner ones in touristy boarding houses and 17.82 Romanian tourists respectively 0.87 foreigner ones in agro-touristy boarding houses, numbers which suggest a low intensity of touristy flow quantified by the mean tourists number. So it was observed that mean number of Romanian tourists is much greater than the number of foreigner ones also in touristy boarding houses with 1735.02% as well as in agro-touristy boarding houses with 1948.27%.

Mean number of tourists (Nt) recorded in analysed period (2012-2016), in Bacău County, show a higher intensity of touristy flow in touristy boarding houses face to agrotouristy ones with 264.53% for Romanian tourists, as well as for the foreigner tourists with 306.89%.

Mean number of tourists increased constantly during the analysed period being with 70.30% higher in 2016 face to 2012 in touristy boarding houses and also constantly increased in the case of agro-touristy boarding houses being with 278.41% higher in 2016 face to 2012.

Mean duration of sojourn (Ds) in touristy and agro-touristy boarding houses from Bacău County, in period 2012-2016, on types of tourists is presented in table 8.

Table 8 Mean duration of sojourn in touristy boarding structures with functions of touristy accommodation, on types of structures (touristy and agro-touristy boarding houses) and on types of tourists in Bacău County

| | | Years | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-------|---------|--------|----------|------|--|
| Types of touristy boarding units | Tourists' type | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | |
| | | MU | J: mean | number | of perso | ons | |
| | TOTAL | 1.80 | 1.60 | 1.77 | 1.76 | 1.72 | |
| Touristy boarding houses | Romanians | 1.77 | 1.56 | 1.74 | 1.72 | 1.63 | |
| | Foreigners | 2.49 | 2.30 | 2.36 | 2.55 | 3.37 | |
| Agro-touristy boarding houses | TOTAL | 1.49 | 1.41 | 1.54 | 1.76 | 1.85 | |
| | Romanians | 1.49 | 1.43 | 1.51 | 1.71 | 1.77 | |
| | Foreigners | 4.5 | 1.19 | 4.02 | 2.40 | 3.13 | |

This indicator which show the mean staying period (days) of tourists in accommodation units and so reflects the possibility of the offer to keep the tourist into a certain area or region [12], in touristy and

agro-touristy boarding houses from Bacău County, on types of tourists, in the analysed period (2012-2016), show mean values of 1.68 days for Romanian tourists and 2.61 days for foreigner ones in touristy boarding

houses respectively 1.58 days for Romanian tourists and 3.05 days for foreigner ones in agro-touristy boarding houses, observing the fact that mean duration of sojourn of foreigner tourists is greater than mean duration of sojourn of Romanian ones also at touristy boarding houses with 55.36% as well as in agro-touristy boarding houses with 93.03%.

Mean duration of sojourn (Ds) in analysed period (2012–2016), in Bacău County, presented fluctuations and show the fact that mean staying duration (days) of tourists in accommodation units is higher for

touristy boarding houses face to agro-touristy ones with only 6.33% for Romanian tourists and mean staying period (days) of tourists in accommodation units is higher in agro-touristy boarding houses face to touristy ones with 16.86% for foreigners.

Touristy flow density (D) is the indicator which directly connects the touristy flow with the resident population of a region or country.

Touristy flow density (D) in touristy and agro-touristy boarding house during 2012–2016, by localities from Bacău County is presented in table 9.

Table 9 Touristy flow density in localities from Bacău County

| | | | | Years | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|-------|-------|----------|---------|--------|
| Types of touristy boarding units | Localities | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| | | | MU: n | umber of | persons | |
| | Bacău City | 0.047 | 0.054 | 0.056 | 0.069 | 0.076 |
| | Moineşti City | 0.013 | 0.048 | 0.042 | 0.093 | 0.109 |
| | Oneşti City | 0.045 | 0.035 | 0.029 | 0.034 | 0.048 |
| Touristy boarding bouses | Comăneşti Town | 0.066 | 0.088 | 0.079 | 0.140 | 0.146 |
| Touristy boarding houses | Dărmăneşti Town | 0.045 | 0.040 | 0.051 | 0.052 | 0.036 |
| | Slănic Moldova Town | 0.350 | 0.760 | 1.018 | 1.145 | 1.041 |
| | Târgu Ocna Town | 0.190 | 0.082 | 0.087 | 0.164 | 0.153 |
| | Oituz | - | - | - | 0.010 | - |
| | Bacău City | - | - | - | 0.0007 | 0.0005 |
| | Moineşti City | 0.006 | - | - | - | - |
| | Comăneşti Town | - | - | - | 0.041 | 0.072 |
| | Slănic Moldova Town | 0.200 | 0.149 | - | - | - |
| | Bereşti-Tazlău | - | 0.025 | 0.031 | 0.040 | 0.017 |
| | Filipeşti | - | - | 0.020 | 0.042 | 0.0008 |
| | Ghimeş-Făget | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.007 | 0.010 |
| | Gîrleni | - | - | - | 0.038 | 0.087 |
| | Hemeiuş | 0.190 | 0.308 | 0.319 | 0.322 | 0.342 |
| Agro-touristy boarding houses | Iteşti | - | - | - | 0.022 | 0.009 |
| | Letea Veche | - | - | - | - | 0.005 |
| | Măgura | - | - | - | - | 0.321 |
| | Mărgineni | 0.022 | 0.290 | 0.475 | 0.285 | 0.244 |
| | Nicolae Bălcescu | 0.026 | 0.051 | 0.031 | 0.018 | 0.031 |
| | Oituz | - | - | - | 0.005 | 0.003 |
| | Poduri | - | - | - | 0.149 | 0.103 |
| | Racova | - | - | - | - | 0.086 |
| | Sănduleni | 0.027 | 0.044 | 0.047 | 0.015 | 0.024 |
| | Ştefan cel Mare | - | - | - | 0.008 | 0.017 |

Usually this indicator has a sub-unitary value in the areas with mean and low touristy flow [12], as in the case of touristy flow in touristy and agro-touristy boarding houses in the localities from Bacău County exception being Slănic Moldova town which during 2014–2016 had a touristy flow density with supra-unitary values, so the touristy flow

density was higher. In the analysed period (2012–2016) mean values of 0.175 tourists in touristy boarding houses and 0.088 tourists in the agro-touristy ones show a very low touristy flow density in connection with the residential population of the localities in which are founded touristy and agro-touristy boarding houses.

Touristy flow density (D), by localities, in the analysed period (2012–2016), as indicator which directly connects touristy flow with residential population of localities from Bacău County reveal a touristy flow density higher in the case of touristy boarding houses which are in the urban area with 98.86% in comparison with the agrotouristy ones from the rural area.

CONCLUSIONS

Mean number of tourists (Nt), in touristy and agro-touristy boarding houses from Bacău County recorded mean values during those 5 analysed years (2012–2016), of 64.96 Romanian tourists in touristy boarding houses and 17.82 Romanian tourists in agro-touristy ones, values which suggest a mean intensity of touristy flow quantified in mean number of Romanian tourists and 3.54 foreigner tourists in touristy boarding houses respectively 0.87 foreigner tourists in agrotouristy ones, values which suggest a low intensity of touristy flow quantified in mean number of foreigner tourists. It was observed that mean number of Romanian tourists is greater that the one of foreigner tourists both in touristy boarding houses, with 1735.02% as well in agro-touristy ones with 1948.27%.

Mean number of tourists (Nt) recorded in the analysed period (2012–2016), in Bacău County, show a touristy flow intensity higher in touristy boarding houses face to agrotouristy ones with 264.53% both for Romanian tourists as well as for the foreigner ones with 306.89%.

Mean duration of sojourn (Ds), in touristy and agro-touristy boarding houses from Bacău County on tourists' type in the same analysed period (2012–2016) show mean values of 1.68 days for Romanian tourists in touristy boarding houses and 1.58 for Romanian tourists in agro-touristy ones and 2.61 days for foreigner tourists in touristy boarding houses respectively 3.05 days for foreigner tourists in agro-touristy ones, being observed the fact that mean sojourn duration of foreigner tourists is higher than mean sojourn duration of Romanian tourists both in touristy boarding houses with 55.36% as well as in agro-touristy ones with 93.03%.

Mean duration of sojourn (Ds) in analysed period (2012–2016), in Bacău County, show the fact that mean staying duration (days) of tourists in accommodation units is higher for touristy boarding houses face to agro-touristy ones with 6.33% for Romanian tourists and mean staying duration (days) of tourists in accommodation units is higher for agro-touristy boarding houses face to touristy ones with 16.86% for foreigner tourists.

Touristy flow density (D), in touristy agro-touristy boarding houses localities from Bacău County in analysed period (2012-2016) show mean values of 0.175 tourists in touristy boarding houses and 0.088 tourists in agro-touristy ones, values which suggest a very low touristy flow in connection with the residential population of the localities in which are founded touristy and agro-touristy boarding houses in Bacău County with the exception of Slănic Moldova town where in period 2014-2016 the density of touristy flow had supra-unitary values, so we can talk of a high touristy flow density. Touristy flow density, as indicator which directly connects touristy movement with residential population of Bacău County reveal a higher touristy flow density with 98.86%, in case of touristy boarding houses from urban area in comparison with agrotouristy ones which are mainly founded in rural area.

REFERENCES

- [1] Enea Constanța, 2009: Tourism economy (Economia turismului, in Romanian), Editura Universitaria, Craiova
- [2] Ghereş Marinela, 2006: Agro-tourism (Agroturism, in Romanian), Editura Risoprint, Cluj-Napoca
- [3] Goga Petru Andrei, 2001: Tourism economy (Economia turismului, in Romanian), Editura "George Barițiu", Cluj-Napoca
- [4] Minciu Rodica, 2004: Tourism economy (Economia turismului, in Romanian), Editura Uranus, București
- [5] Neacşu Nicolae, Băltăreţu Andreea, Neacşu Monica, 2012: Tourism economy (Economia Turismului, in Romanian), Editura ProUniversitaria, Bucureşti
- [6] Neacşu Nicolae, Băltărețu Andreea, Neacşu Monica, Drăghilă Marcela, 2016: Resources and

- 192 -

- touristy destinations in Romania (Resurse și destinații turistice în România, in Romanian), 2nd edition, actualized and completed, Editura Universitară, București
- [7] Nistorenu Puiu and Ghereș Marinela (coordonatori), 2010: Rural tourism (Turism rural, in Romanian) (Tratat), Editura C. H. Beck, Bucuresti
- [8] Păsărin Benone, 2012: Elements of agroturisty farms management (Elemente de management al fermelor agroturistice, in Romanian), Editura "Ion Ionescu de la Brad", Iași [9] Postelnicu Gheorghe, 2006: Introduction in tourism theory and practice (Introducere în teoria și practica turismului, in Romanian), Editura
- Dacia, Cluj-Napoca [10] Snak Oscar, Baron Petre, Neacşu Nicolae, 2001: Tourism economy (Economia turismului, in Romanian), Editura Expert, Bucureşti
- [11] Suta Octavia Violeta, Goga Petru Andrei, 2002: Tourism economy (Economia turismului, in Romanian) Editura "George Bariţiu", Cluj-Napoca [12] Turcu Daniela, Weisz Janeta, 2008: Tourism economy (Economia turismului, in Romanian) Editura Eurostampa, Timișoara
- [13] insse.ro